

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,547	66,547 09/22/2003		John H. Sohl III	36507-193186	5549
26694	7590	05/26/2005		EXAM	INER
VENABLI				HOLLINGTON, JERMELE M	
P.O. BOX 3 WASHING		20045-9998		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
***************************************	,			2829	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/666,547	SOHL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jermele M. Hollington	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Marc	<u>h 11, 2005</u> .						
· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/04</u> .	6)						

Art Unit: 2829

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Base on the applicants' arguments, the examiner has withdrawn the restriction requirements.

### Specification

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "410" has been used to designate both a dipole electrical conductivity sensor [see page 22, line 2] and bulkhad electrical connector [see page 22, last two lines]. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 1) on page 22, line 1, MIP 402 should be change to --MIP 400-- to be consistent with the specification 2) applicants must update the status of the U.S. Non-Provisional Patent Applications in paragraphs [0003]-[0005] and 3) regarding claim 1, the applicants have not establish diameter of a conventional MIP sensor therefore the examiner is taking the position that the diameter of the conventional sensor could be any size diameter as long as it is smaller than another sensor diameter.

Art Unit: 2829

Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-21 and 25-35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of copending Application No. 10/666,558. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications are covering the same invention in different orders.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is the relationship between both applications: claims 1-21 of this application anticipate claims 12-32 of application no. 10/666,558 and claims 25-35 of this application anticipate claims 1-11 of application no. 10/666,558.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 4

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christy (5639956).

Regarding claim 1, Christy discloses [see Fig. 1] a membrane interface probe apparatus (probe 10) comprising: a membrane interface probe (M1P) sensor (sensing unit 28) having a larger diameter than a conventional MIP sensor (sensor 10 of US Patent 6,405,135).

[Note: MPEP 2131.01 states: "Normally, only one reference should be used in making a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102. However, a 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection over multiple references has been held to be proper when the extra references are cited to: (A) Prove the primary reference contains an "enabled disclosure;" (B) Explain the meaning of a term used in the primary reference; or (C) Show that a characteristic not disclosed in the reference is inherent."]

Regarding claim 2, Christy discloses said larger diameter MIP sensor (28) is adapted for direct coupling to larger diameter rod systems.

Regarding claim 4 Christy discloses said larger diameter MIP sensor (28) allows use in situations where a low sidewall support of the drive rod string (probe rod string 14) exists.

Regarding claim 15, Christy discloses a membrane interface probe apparatus (probe 10) comprising: a membrane interface probe (M1P) sensor (sensing unit 28) comprising a removable trap (permeable membrane 30) directly into the probe (10) for the collection and concentration of volatile organic compounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,547

Art Unit: 2829

Regarding claim 16, Christy discloses said removable trap (30) enables detection of lower levels of concentration of said volatile organic compound, and specific identification of compounds through post run chromatographic analysis.

Regarding claim 17, Christy discloses providing for calibration of said MIP sensor (28) using chromatographic methods.

Regarding claim 18, Christy discloses means (permeable membrane 30) for simultaneous trapping and concentrating of volatile organic compounds during MIP sampling and logging events.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermele M. Hollington whose telephone number is (571) 272-1960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:30 EST) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (517) 272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2829

Jermele M. Hollington Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2829

JMH May 24, 2005